

The Galois action on character tables

TERRY GANNON^{*†}

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Alberta,
Edmonton, Canada, T6G 2G1
e-mail: tgannon@math.ualberta.ca

To John, prophet and mentor

Abstract

A geometric interpretation and generalisation for the Galois action on finite group character tables is sketched.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let G be a finite group. Its finite-dimensional representations (over $\mathbb{C}$) are completely reducible into a direct sum of irreducible representations. Moreover, such a representation ρ is uniquely determined (up to equivalence) by its *character* $ch_\rho(g) := \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

A character is a *class function*, i.e. is constant on conjugacy classes: $ch_\rho(k^{-1}gk) = ch_\rho(g)$. We collect the characters of irreducible representations, evaluated at each conjugacy class, into a square matrix called the *character table*. The character tables for the symmetric group S_3 and alternating group A_5 are given below ($\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, $\alpha' = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$). The character table is an important group invariant, with rich structure. For example, each 1-dimensional representation is a symmetry permuting the rows, and dually, any central element is a symmetry permuting the columns.

^{*}This is a contribution to the proceedings in honour of John McKay. This research is supported in part by NSERC

[†]MSC: primary 20C15; secondary 11R32

S_3	(1)	(12)	(123)
ch_1	1	1	1
ch_s	1	-1	1
ch_2	2	0	-1

A_5	(1)	(12)(34)	(123)	(12345)	(13524)
ch_1	1	1	1	1	1
ch_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
ch_5	5	1	-1	0	0
ch_3	3	-1	0	α	α'
$ch_{3'}$	3	-1	0	α'	α

TABLE. The character tables of S_3 and A_5

The Galois symmetry of the character table isn't as well-known. Any element of the *cyclotomic field* $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$ for $\xi_n := \exp[2\pi i/n]$ can be written (in many ways) as a polynomial $p(\xi_n) = \sum_k a_k \xi_n^k$, $a_k \in \mathbb{Q}$; it is called a cyclotomic integer if all $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The entries of the character table of G are cyclotomic integers, $ch_\rho(g) \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi_n]$, where n can be taken to be the order (or even the exponent) of G . This can be seen by diagonalising the matrix $\rho(g)$, which will have order dividing n . For example in the A_5 table, $\alpha = 1 + \xi_5^2 + \xi_5^3$ and $\alpha' = 1 + \xi_5 + \xi_5^4$ are both cyclotomic integers. In fact somewhat more is true: any (complex) representation of G can be realised (in many ways) by matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$ (this can be elegantly proved using induced representations – see e.g. Thm. 10.3 of [4]).

The Galois group $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]/\mathbb{Q})$ is the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ consisting of all classes mod n of integers coprime to n . The integer $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ corresponds to the automorphism $\sigma_\ell \in \mathcal{G}_n$ taking ξ_n to ξ_n^ℓ . This implies that $\sigma_\ell(p(\xi_n)) = p(\xi_n^\ell)$ for any polynomial p with rational coefficients.

The Galois group $\mathcal{G}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ acts on the rows of the character table of G , by $\sigma.ch_\rho = ch_{\sigma\rho}$, where $\sigma\rho$ is defined by first realising ρ as matrices over $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$, and then evaluating $(\sigma\rho)(g) = \sigma(\rho(g))$ entry-wise. That $\sigma\rho$ is a representation of G is clear because σ preserves addition and multiplication; that $\sigma\rho$ is independent of how ρ is realised over $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$, is now clear by considering characters. For example, $\ell \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ will permute the A_5 -characters ch_3 and ch'_3 . (Of course, $\sigma\rho$ can also be expressed in a basis-independent way e.g. by having $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ act on $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^m))$ by $\sigma \circ \rho \circ \sigma^{-1}$.)

The Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ acts on columns of character tables by $\sigma_\ell.g = g^\ell$ (ℓ is only defined mod n , but $g^n = 1$ so this isn't a problem). That this takes conjugacy classes to conjugacy classes is clear: $(k^{-1}gk)^\ell = k^{-1}g^\ell k$.

These two actions are compatible, in the sense that σ_ℓ applied to the

number $ch_\rho(g) \in \mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$ is given by both the row- and column-actions:

$$\sigma_\ell(ch_\rho(g)) = ch_{\sigma_\ell \rho}(g) = ch_\rho(g^\ell) . \quad (1)$$

The first equality is clear by the definition of $\sigma\rho$: $\text{Tr}(\sigma(\rho(g))) = \sigma(\text{Tr}(\rho(g)))$. The second equality follows by diagonalising $\rho(g)$ (which can be done over $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$): its eigenvalues are n th roots of unity so will be raised to the ℓ th power.

For example, the S_3 -character values are all integers, and so are invariant under any Galois automorphism. This is equivalent to saying that g^ℓ is conjugate to g , for any $g \in S_3$ and any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_6^\times$. Since g^ℓ will likewise be conjugate to g in any symmetric group S_m , all character values for any S_m will necessarily be integers.

There are many theorems in group theory whose best (or only) known proof uses character table technology, including this Galois action. A famous example is Burnside's $p^a q^b$ -theorem, which says that as long as three distinct primes don't divide the order of a group, that group will necessarily be solvable. This is the best possible result, in the sense that the alternating group A_5 is not solvable (much to the chagrin of quintic solvers) and only three primes divide $|A_5| = 60$.

We can formulate this Galois action more abstractly as follows. The characters are class functions, so lie in (in fact span) the space

$$\text{Map}_G(G, \mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]) \quad (2)$$

of G -equivariant maps, where G acts on itself by conjugation and fixes the scalars. The Galois group $\mathcal{G}_n$ acts on this space in two ways: it manifestly acts on the scalars, and it acts on G as above. The compatibility (1) of these two actions is clearly a basis-dependent statement; that such a basis (e.g. the irreducible characters) exists, is equivalent to the statement that the representation of $\mathcal{G}_n$ on the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}\langle g \rangle$, for any $g \in G$, is a subrepresentation of that on the field $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$.

In any case, it can be asked why should the cyclotomic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_n$ act on finite group character tables. More precisely, these Galois groups act by definition on cyclotomic numbers; any other action that can't be reduced quite directly to this one can be termed 'mysterious'. In this sense, perhaps the action of $\mathcal{G}_n$ on G can be labelled mysterious. Can we relate it to more familiar Galois actions, perhaps as a special case of some general class? I

think this is a question John McKay could ask. We'll suggest an answer in the following pages.

However, especially in this era of George Bush and Tony Blair, we've been taught that we shouldn't look too closely at motivations. Indeed, for an additional ulterior motive for this material, see Chapter 6 of [3]. Certainly, the 'explanation' we propose in Section 3 for this action is much more complicated than the standard proof sketched above. At the end of the day, we should judge a question by how intriguing the resulting picture is, which it helped shape. So withhold your judgement until you conclude Section 3!

2 A warm-up example

To help clarify what is wanted here, let's consider a toy model. It is a vaguely similar action, though is much simpler to 'explain'. What we are after is essentially its profinite version. This section can be skipped if the material is too unfamiliar; on the other hand, it may also be of independent interest.

In Moonshine and orbifold string theory, the following action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ arises. Let G be any finite group. Consider all pairs $(g, h) \in G \times G$ of commuting pairs. Identify simultaneous conjugates: $(g, h) \sim (k^{-1}gk, k^{-1}hk)$. For example, S_3 has 8 such equivalence classes, while A_5 has 22. On these equivalence classes of pairs, we get a (right) $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ action by

$$(g, h) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (g^a h^c, g^b h^d) . \quad (3)$$

Where does this come from? The answer is geometry. If we forget the commuting pairs and simultaneous conjugation, the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action becomes a braid group $\mathcal{B}_3$ -action:

$$(g, h) \cdot \sigma_1 = (g, gh) , \quad (g, h) \cdot \sigma_2 = (gh^{-1}, h) , \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle$.

Now, one of the basic facts about the braid groups [1] is that they act on the free groups. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_3$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_3 = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ by

$$\sigma_1 \cdot x = xyx^{-1} , \quad \sigma_1 \cdot y = x , \quad \sigma_1 \cdot z = z , \quad \sigma_2 \cdot x = x , \quad \sigma_2 \cdot y = yzy^{-1} , \quad \sigma_2 \cdot z = y .$$

The easiest way to see this is through the realisation of the braid group as the fundamental group of configuration space. Indeed, the pure braid group

$\mathcal{P}_n$ is $\pi_1(C_n)$ where $C_n = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j\}$ and the free group $\mathcal{F}_n$ is $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$, so given the obvious bundle $C_{n+1} \rightarrow C_n$ (dropping a coordinate), there will be an action of the fundamental group $\mathcal{P}_n$ of the base on the fundamental group $\mathcal{F}_n$ of the fibre. The $\mathcal{B}_n$ -action is obtained through symmetrisation of C_n .

In any case, $\mathcal{B}_3$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_3$ (by group automorphisms), so it also acts on the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_3, G) \cong G^3$ (this latter action won't respect the $G \times G \times G$ group structure). Collapsing a triple $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in G^3$ to the pair $(g_1 g_2^{-1}, g_2 g_3^{-1})$ recovers (4). This $\mathcal{B}_3$ -action becomes a well-defined $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action if we either restrict to a commuting pair, or we identify simultaneous conjugates.

Similarly, we get a $\mathcal{B}_n$ -action on $G^n \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_n, G)$ and hence on G^{n-1} . The role of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ will now be served by $\mathcal{B}_n/\langle z \rangle$ (n even) or $\mathcal{B}_n/\langle z^2 \rangle$ (n odd), where z is the generator of the centre of $\mathcal{B}_n$. This 'explains' the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action (3) in the sense that it embeds it in a larger context, showing that it belongs to an infinite family of related actions, hence generalising it. We want to do the same to the Galois action on character tables – to embed it in an infinite family of other Galois actions. In this geometric interpretation of Galois on character tables, $\mathcal{B}_n$ is replaced by $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ by its profinite completion.

Of course there is never a unique 'explanation', nor need there even be a best one. For a different explanation, (3) can also be obtained from the following construction (see e.g. [11, 3] for the background). Given a Hopf algebra, the Drinfel'd double produces a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra (i.e. a Hopf algebra co-commutative up to an isomorphism). Given such a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, Reshetikhin–Turaev obtain from this a modular category. These are interesting because modular categories supply knot invariants on arbitrary 3-manifolds. In a modular category (or what is essentially the same thing, a 3-dimensional topological field theory or 2-dimensional rational conformal field theory), we get representations of any surface mapping class group. Now apply this construction to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ (a Hopf algebra). Fix any compact genus g surface Σ_g , and consider the set of all group homomorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow G$, where we identify two maps if they are conjugates by G . The mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,0}$ acts naturally on $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ and hence on $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), G)$, and in genus $g = 1$ this action reduces to that of (3) (recall that π_1 of a torus is $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\Gamma_{1,0}$ is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$).

The second explanation certainly should be regarded as an interesting generalisation of (3). Perhaps one advantage though of the first explanation is that it is both more intrinsically group-theoretic and more elementary, not

relying on relatively heavy machinery. In any case, the interpretation we'll suggest shortly for the Galois action on character tables is related to this first explanation.

3 The geometric meaning of Galois

Seeking a geometric interpretation of a Galois action leads naturally to geometric Galois. Classical Galois theory concerns field extensions L over a base field K ; geometric Galois concerns unramified coverings $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of a space X (see e.g. [6] for a gentle account). The role of the algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ is played by the universal covering space $\widetilde{X}$, and the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$ by the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$. The action of Galois automorphisms on $\alpha \in L$ corresponds to that of deck transformations on the fibre $f^{-1}(p)$ above $p \in X$ (a *deck* or *covering transformation* is a homeomorphism $\gamma : Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $f \circ \gamma = f$; we identify the deck transformation with the permutation it induces on the fibre $f^{-1}(p)$). The deck transformations form a group analogous to $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. A *Galois covering* is one whose deck transformation group is transitive on $f^{-1}(p)$; if the degree n of the covering is finite, this is the same as saying the order of that group is also n .

In complete analogy with classical Galois theory, geometric Galois establishes a bijection between subgroups H of $\pi_1(X)$ and coverings $Y = \widetilde{X}/H$, where $H \cong \pi_1(Y)$. Y is a Galois covering iff H is normal in $\pi_1(X)$, in which case the deck transformation group is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X)/H$.

For example, consider the covering (spiral staircase) $Y_n : x_1^n x_2 = 1$ of the punctured complex plane $X : x_1 x_2 = 1$. Here, $\pi_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_1(Y_n)$ can be naturally identified with the subgroup $n\mathbb{Z}$. The deck transformations are $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\xi_n^k x_1, x_2)$, and form the group $\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Geometric Galois would have been understood shortly after Poincaré defined the fundamental group – it can be understood in terms of function fields. But it took Grothendieck much later to explain how to simultaneously combine geometric Galois with the Galois theory of number fields. Let X be an algebraic variety which can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. it's given by a set of polynomials $p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with rational coefficients. Fix (if it exists) a rational basepoint $p \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Choose any finite index normal subgroup N of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. Then there will be a covering $f : X_N \rightarrow X$ with $\pi_1(X_N) = N$. The Generalised Riemann Existence Theorem ensures that X_N will be an algebraic variety over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(i.e. the coefficients of the defining polynomials will be algebraic numbers). In particular, the fibre $f^{-1}(p)$ will all have coordinates in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The absolute Galois group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the points in $f^{-1}(p)$ component-wise. It acts on varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, equivalently the finite index subgroups N , coefficientwise on the defining polynomials. Grothendieck wrote that an automorphism $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on the deck transformations by

$$\gamma_N \mapsto \sigma \circ \gamma_{\sigma^{-1}N} \circ \sigma^{-1} , \quad (5)$$

where as always we identify a deck transformation with the associated permutation of $f^{-1}(p)$.

This isn't quite well-defined yet because σ may mix up the deck transformations for different coverings – when $\sigma N \neq N$ we must explain how $\gamma_{\sigma^{-1}N}$ is related to γ_N . This isn't hard, because for each σ the deck transformation groups $\pi_1(X)/(\sigma N)$ are all naturally isomorphic (the isomorphism being given by the appropriate Galois automorphism). A more elegant solution to this well-definedness issue will be given shortly. But an example should help clarify the basic idea of (5). Return to the covering Y_n of the punctured plane X . Take $p = (1, 1)$, so $f^{-1}(p) = \{(\xi_n^j, 1)\}$. The deck transformation γ_k , for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, sends $(\xi_n^j, 1)$ to $(\xi_n^{j+k}, 1)$. Since Y_n is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, any $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ fixes it (hence also fixes $N = n\mathbb{Z}$). Note also that the $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action here factors through to that of the cyclotomic group $\mathcal{G}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$: then (5) says that $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ acts on the deck transformation γ_k by

$$(\xi_n^j, 1) \mapsto (\xi_n^{\ell(\ell^{-1}j+k)}, 1) = (\xi_n^{j+\ell k}, 1) ,$$

i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by multiplication.

There is a cleaner way to formulate this, which in addition makes (5) well-defined. All of these normal subgroups N can be handled simultaneously, by using the inverse-limit (algebra's way to integrate): the inverse-limit $\lim_{\leftarrow} N$ of the deck transformation groups $\pi_1(X)/N$ is called the *profinite completion* $\widehat{\pi_1(X)}$ of $\pi_1(X)$ and the *algebraic fundamental group* of X . Grothendieck's (5) defines 'component-wise' the $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on $\widehat{\pi_1(X)}$.

For example, return to the coverings Y_n of the punctured plane X . The algebraic fundamental group here is $\lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_n = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the direct product over all primes p of the p -adic integers $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. It can be realised as the collection of all sequences $\hat{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the property that $k_n \equiv k_m \pmod{n}$ whenever n divides m . (Any other profinite completion is constructed

similarly.) Then $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ through the *cyclotomic character* χ_{cyclo} defining the projection of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ onto the abelianisation $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}/[\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. By the Kronecker–Weber theorem, the abelianisation is simply $\text{Gal}(\cup_n \mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]/\mathbb{Q}) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{G}_n = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$, the invertible elements in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e. all sequences $\hat{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that each ℓ_n is coprime to n . The action of $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is given by multiplication by $\chi_{cyclo}(\sigma)$.

Section 2 tells us how to proceed. We get an action of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$, or if you prefer $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, on the (continuous) homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, G) \cong G$, namely $\hat{\ell}$ sends $g \in G$ to g^{ℓ_n} where n is the order of g . This is precisely the Galois action on columns of the character table! The more straightforward action on rows can be recovered from this by a duality argument.

In other words, we should replace the definition (2) of the space of class functions, with

$$\text{Map}_G(\text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) . \quad (6)$$

This expression is superior to (2) in that the two Galois actions on the class functions are both manifest in (6): $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ much as it acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

But why should we limit ourselves to X being the punctured plane? Take X for instance to be $\mathbb{C}$ with n punctures. This can always be taken to be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ – e.g. the polynomial $z_1 z_2^2 (z_2 - 1)^2 = z_2^2 - z_2 + 1$ realises the plane with two punctures. For n punctures, Grothendieck’s action (5) becomes a homomorphism $Gr_G^n : \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$, for any finite group G with n generators. It can be reclothed into an action of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ (again by group automorphisms), and hence an action of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the *set* G^n , for any finite group G . A minor miracle is that, by Belyi’s Theorem (see e.g. [9]), these are all faithful actions for $n \geq 2$ (as G varies)! This faithfulness is very surprising, considering how large the kernel is for $n = 1$.

The homomorphism Gr_G^n is a generalisation to $n > 1$ of the fact that $g \mapsto g^{\ell}$ is an automorphism of cyclic G (but not of general G). This $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action *stabilises*, in the sense that $Gr_G^n = Gr_G^m$ whenever G has at most m and n generators, so we can unambiguously write Gr_G .

The $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on G^n can be described more explicitly as follows:

$$\sigma.(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (Gr_H(g_1), \dots, Gr_H(g_n)) \quad (7)$$

where $H = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$. In particular, σ commutes both with simultaneous conjugation and with permutations of the components: $\sigma.(k^{-1}g_1k, \dots, k^{-1}g_nk) = k^{-1}(\sigma.(g_1, \dots, g_n))k$ for any $k \in G$, and $\pi(\sigma.(g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \sigma.(g_{\pi 1}, \dots, g_{\pi n})$

for any permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Therefore (6) generalises to

$$\text{Map}_G(\text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n, G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}})/\mathcal{S}_n, \quad (8)$$

where the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ acts by permuting the n -tuples. Again we have two manifest (and for $n \geq 2$, faithful!) $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -actions.

The most obvious question is, are there any group-theoretic consequences of this action, when $n \geq 2$? Is there perhaps some generalisation of character tables which realise this action, i.e. some generalisation of character which can supply a natural basis for the space (8)? The obvious answer is very classical: the n -characters (essentially the coefficients of Frobenius' group determinant [5]) span the space (8) (with a little help). However, these are always $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{|G|}]$ -valued, so compatibility (1) will fail for them for $n > 1$. In this sense the n -characters are too close to the usual characters; there should be (from this Galois perspective) a better basis of (8).

There are plenty of other more recent multi-variable generalisations of character tables. For instance, note that the subspace of n -tuples $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ of mutually commuting elements will be mapped to itself by $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$; this suggests considering the spaces of Section 2, or the generalisations of characters associated with elliptic cohomology (see e.g. [7]). It may also be interesting to study this Galois action specifically for the reductive groups over finite fields, where we have a more geometric alternative to the character table, namely character sheaves [8] (I thank Clifton Cunningham for this interesting suggestion).

The case of the twice-punctured plane is especially accessible, because of its connection to dessins d'enfants [9] and also to modular curves [10]: its coverings are precisely the algebraic curves defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and they are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\})/\Gamma$ for finite index subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For example, explicit genus-0 calculations can be found in [2].

For example, Galois coverings with deck transformation group isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n (of order $2n$) are given by $f(x) = (2 - x^n - x^{-n})/4$. The fibre $f^{-1}(1/2)$ are the $2n$ points $x \in \{\xi_{4n}^j \mid j = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots, \pm(2n-1)\}$. The deck transformations are parametrised by pairs (ϵ, k) where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$; it acts on $f^{-1}(1/2)$ by $(\epsilon, k) \cdot \xi_{4n}^j = \xi_{4n}^{\epsilon j + 4k}$. These coverings are also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the Grothendieck action (5) again factors through the cyclotomic character: $Gr_{D_n}(\sigma)$ sends (ϵ, k) to $(\epsilon, \chi_{\text{cyclo}}(\sigma) \cdot k)$ where $\hat{\ell} \cdot k = \ell_n k$. Note that this $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ -action differs from the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -action $g \mapsto g^{\hat{\ell}}$ coming from the once-punctured plane: for the latter, $(-1, k)$ would be fixed by any $\hat{\ell}$, since

it has order 2. Nevertheless the dihedral groups are close enough to the cyclic ones that the cyclotomic character arises again. However as mentioned earlier, for any $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, there will be a finite group G (with two generators) such that σ acts nontrivially on G .

This short paper has tried to identify a McKay-esque question: where does the $g \mapsto g^\ell$ symmetry of character tables really come from? We sketched a geometric source for it, relating it to more familiar Galois actions, thus fitting the $g \mapsto g^\ell$ action into the first window of an infinite tower. We propose that these form a natural generalisation of $g \mapsto g^\ell$. The big question now is, do these other Galois actions on finite groups play any role in group theory? This question, and several others begged by this interpretation, will be addressed in future work.

References

- [1] J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups* (Princeton University Press, 1974).
- [2] J.-M. Couveignes and L. Granboulan, “Dessins from a geometric point of view”, in: *The Grothendieck Theory of Dessins d’enfant*, (Cambridge University Press, 1994) 79–113.
- [3] T. Gannon, *Moonshine Beyond the Monster* (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- [4] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups* (Dover, Nineola N.Y. 1994).
- [5] K. W. Johnson, “The Dedekind–Frobenius group determinant: New life in an old problem”, *Groups St. Andrews (Bath, 1997)*, Vol. II (Cambridge University Press, 1999) 417–428.
- [6] M. Kuga, *Galois’ Dream: Group theory and differential equations* (Birkhäuser, Boston 1993).
- [7] N. J. Kuhn, “Character rings in algebraic topology”, in: *Advances in Homotopy Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 1989) 111–126.
- [8] G. Lusztig, “Character sheaves and generalizations”, in: *The Unity of Mathematics* (Birkhäuser, Boston 2006) 443–455.

- [9] L. Schneps, “Dessins d’enfants on the Riemann sphere”, in: *The Grothendieck Theory of Dessins d’enfant* (Cambridge University Press, 1994) 47–77.
- [10] A. J. Scholl, “Modular forms on noncongruence subgroups”, in: *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1985-86* (Birkhäuser, Boston 1987) 199–206.
- [11] V. G. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-manifolds* (de Gruyter, Berlin 1994).